## Case 1:04-cr-01350-NRB Document 45 Filed 12/04/14 Page 1 of 16

EB5VVARS Sentence UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 04 CR 1350 (NRB) V. 5 JUAN CARLOS VARGAS, 6 Defendant. 7 ----x 8 New York, N.Y. November 5, 2014 9 2:25 p.m. 10 Before: 11 HON. NAOMI REICE BUCHWALD, 12 District Judge 13 APPEARANCES 14 15 PREET BHARARA, United States Attorney for the 16 Southern District of New York 17 JESSICA MASELLA Assistant United States Attorney 18 MARGARET SHALLEY 19 RICHARD WASHINGTON Attorneys for Defendant 20 ALSO PRESENT: JORDAN FOX, Spanish Interpreter 21 22 23 24 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MASELLA: Your Honor, the defendant is not here with the marshals.

THE COURT: I didn't think I saw him. But I'm glad I didn't say anything. Okay. Why is he not here?

MS. MASELLA: I'm not sure. I know that I had initially ordered him for 4:30, which was the time that the conference was set for. He's probably in the building; I'm just not sure if they are aware that they should have brought him up at 2:15.

> THE COURT: Why don't we call down and find out. (Pause)

THE COURT: Can I just learn what arrangement, if any, has been arrived at in terms of Mr. Vargas's departure from the United States?

MS. MASELLA: Yes, your Honor.

So there are two issues we've been working on with respect to Mr. Vargas. One is the immigration deportation issue, and the second one is the provision of funds and assistance of relocation.

With respect to the immigration issue, I have gotten the authorities to agree that he can depart on a commercial flight and not on a government plane, which was one of his primary concerns. However, we are not going to be able to accomplish that without sending him into immigration custody for a couple of weeks. And the reason for that is that he had

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

actually been a legal permanent resident at some point. And until this conviction becomes final, they are not able to do a final order of removal for him; he has to appear in immigration And even if he agrees to it and does not contest the final order of removal, he still has to make an appearance there, and it will still take some amount of time.

He also needs travel documents for the Dominican Republic which they can help him get when he's in immigration custody, but which we are unable to obtain for him here in federal custody unless he has someone from the outside who is willing to do that. But it's beside the point, because we need this final order of removal from the immigration court.

At first they had told me that this Court might be able to issue a final order of removal, a judicial order of removal; but then because of his prior status as a legal permanent resident, they told me we can't do that.

So after he is sentenced here, he would go to immigration custody for several weeks, during which time they would get the final order of removal, and they would get the Dominican Republic travel documents. And then when the process is concluded, he will be put on a commercial flight, and he will be removed on a regular transport, not in official government custody.

And then with respect to the funds and the relocation issue, I explored several different avenues for that. The one

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that seems to be the most likely to succeed is the one by which the U.S. Attorney's Office would actually be providing what are called Emergency Witness Assistance Program funds. And we were in the process of making that application and getting it approved through Washington.

However, in the recent several weeks, I've talked with Ms. Shalley, because something that would make it easier and more certain that we get that approval would be if Mr. Vargas were able to provide us with an address or a person for the place that he would eventually be going to live, so that we are approving funds for a certain purpose, to pay rent at a certain location, which goes a long way toward our being able to actually obtain the money rather than -- in other words, I don't think we can just hand him a money order or cash without some sense in this application of where exactly it's going to be paid.

She had been in the process of talking with Mr. Vargas about that. It's my understanding that he does have the names and addresses of certain locations at which he could stay, but then he wrote the most recent letter to the Court after that series of conversations.

MS. SHALLEY: He has his mother and has a house in the Dominican Republic, which is in the same town as some of the defendants from the case; but he also has cousins and a number of other people there. I've had probably four phone

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

conversations and visited him twice to just get the name and phone number of anyone in like the next town. And he hasn't provided one.

THE COURT: All right. We'll review this again when he comes.

MS. SHALLEY: Oh, and, your Honor, this is Richard Washington, my mentee for this year.

MR. WASHINGTON: Good afternoon, your Honor.

(Pause)

THE LAW CLERK: This is 04 CR 1350, United States v. Juan Carlos Vargas.

MS. MASELLA: Jessica Masella for the government.

Good afternoon, your Honor.

THE LAW CLERK: Is the defense present and ready to proceed?

MS. SHALLEY: Yes. Margaret Shalley for Juan Carlos Vargas.

With me is Richard Washington from the CJA Mentoring Program.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

Why doesn't everyone sit down.

I think it would be helpful if we began by hearing from the Assistant U.S. Attorney what progress or lack of progress has been made on the issues that caused the sentencing proceeding to be adjourned until today.

MS. MASELLA: Yes, your Honor.

When we were last before the Court for sentencing, there were two issues that Mr. Vargas was primarily concerned with. One was his immigration issue. There's an immigration detainer on him, and it is our understanding that he will be removed or deported from the country after his conviction is final in this case.

The second issue is with respect to what assistance, if any, the government could provide him in helping to relocate, given his situation, his status in this case, and the existence of potential threats against him.

With respect to the immigration issue, we were hoping that we would be able to have Mr. Vargas removed from the United States without having to go to immigration custody first, and that we would be able to do so on a commercial flight rather than in government custody.

After working with the immigration authorities over the course of the last six weeks or so, we have been able to discuss the issue with them. They are prepared to have him removed from the country on a commercial flight, not in government custody, so that he will, it is our hope, not attract the attention of any individuals in the Dominican Republic when he makes his way back there.

However, with respect to the immigration custody issue, he is going to have to be taken from federal custody to

|| EBSVVARS

immigration custody in order for us to accomplish obtaining a final order of removal for him, as well as obtaining the necessary Dominican Republic travel documents. That is because he does not currently have any travel documents that are effective, and also because of his prior status as a legal permanent resident in this country, he can't be ordered removed without certain proceedings which have to take place in the immigration court.

Secondly, with respect to assistance that we can provide to Mr. -

THE COURT: You can stop at this point.

And let me ask Mr. Vargas, do you believe you understand what Ms. Masella has just explained?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Let's go on to the second one.

MS. MASELLA: Thank you, your Honor.

With respect to the relocation issues, we have pursued different sources of funding and assistance. I do believe that we will be able to get funding through the U.S. Attorney's Office, through a witness assistance program. No final determination has been made yet, and I can't guarantee it at this point, but I have had discussions with the responsible people in my office, and they have had discussions with Washington about it. And it seems likely that we will be able to get funds for Mr. Vargas to help him relocate.

However, in the course of my discussions, people within my office and within Washington require some further information that has to come from Mr. Vargas or his family in terms of where he would be relocating to, a possible address or a phone number and address, and individuals to whom he would be paying rent or subletting an apartment from. The funds that we would be providing would be funds sort of earmarked for several months's rent, and basic provisional supplies for the first few months after he relocates to the Dominican Republic.

I asked Ms. Shalley to get some of that information several weeks ago, and she's been speaking with Mr. Vargas about that. But I have not heard any of that information back from them at this point.

THE COURT: Ms. Shalley, has Mr. Vargas been able to furnish you with an address an individual to whom he would be paying rent to satisfy the request of the Department of Justice?

MS. SHALLEY: No. We've had several discussions, and I've met with him twice, and we've had a number of discussions. And he hasn't provided the name of someone or an address.

THE COURT: Mr. Vargas, do you have a plan with respect to where and with whom you will be living after you are returned to the Dominican Republic?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And so you know the name of the person

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that you're going to be staying with?

THE DEFENDANT: I spoke to him, and he told me that I could count on him.

THE COURT: This is someone you've spoken to and you know his name; correct?

THE DEFENDANT: I have the phone number, as well as his name in the papers.

THE COURT: The papers that you have brought to court with you?

THE DEFENDANT: (In English) Yes.

THE COURT: Can you find that name and give it to the Assistant U.S. Attorney so that she can process the request that you've made?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Why don't you do it now.

And do you have an address for this person?

17 THE DEFENDANT: No, but all I need to do is call him.

He told me that I could count on him for whatever I needed.

THE COURT: And did you tell this person that you would be willing to pay him a certain amount of money for rent, for staying with him?

THE DEFENDANT: In the beginning I told him that I could; and he said that's fine, and that he had no problem with that.

THE COURT: So why don't you go into your papers and

EB5VVARS Sentence find the name of this individual so that you can give it to the 1 2 Assistant U.S. Attorney. 3 (Pause) 4 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you. 5 THE COURT: Thank you. Do you need more information about the address? 6 7 MS. MASELLA: Your Honor, I think an address would be more helpful. But perhaps Ms. Shalley would be able to call 8 9 the number, if this individual would provide his address, and 10 then we can move forward from here. Given where everything 11 stands, it would be the government's recommendation that we 12 sentence Mr. Vargas today so that things can proceed. 13 MS. SHALLEY: I can call him and get the address. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Vargas, you understand that 15 Ms. Shalley is going to call your friend and get his address so that the government can be in a position to obtain some funds 16 17 for you when you return to the Dominican Republic to help you 18 get started? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Yes, I called him and asked him 19 20 21 22

if I could give my attorney his telephone number so that she could call him and get any information that was necessary. And he told me that she could, that there was no problem.

THE COURT: Is there any objection to proceeding to sentence today?

> THE DEFENDANT: No.

23

24

25

THE COURT: And Ms. Shalley, have you had a chance to

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

review it with Mr. Vargas?

MS. SHALLEY: Yes, I did.

THE COURT: Are there any objections to it?

MS. SHALLEY: There are only two objections of note.

On paragraph no. 14, the second sentence should read:

"Mr. Vargas shot Marciano accidentally as the two men struggled over control of Vargas's gun."

> THE INTERPRETER: The interpreter didn't hear. Sorry.

MS. SHALLEY: The second sentence should read:

"Mr. Vargas shot Marciano accidentally as the two men struggled over control of Vargas's gun."

THE COURT: Does the government object to that modification?

MS. MASELLA: No objection.

THE COURT: And what's the other one?

MS. SHALLEY: The other one was paragraph 82, which is the paragraph the Court brought up previously, that I ask be stricken from the PSR. I spoke to the probation officer who did the report, who provided me a copy of the arrest report, if the Court would like to see it.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. SHALLEY: It's the same date for the shooting he was arrested for. So it's the same offense.

The probation officer and I both didn't understand why it said that he was arrested in Brooklyn in 2006, because he

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

wasn't; he had already been at MCC for two years. But it's that particular offense that he was originally arrested on here. I looked up the indictment number that's provided on the report, and the same assistant who was on this case at that time, Dan Stein, was the assistant on the number that's on that report; so he clearly was aware of what was going on. He was the assistant on this matter, as well. So we both concluded it was the same case. And he agreed that it should be stricken.

MS. MASELLA: We agree, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me give that back to you.

All right. I think at this point the government has a motion to make.

MS. MASELLA: Yes, your Honor.

The government does move pursuant to Section 5K1.1 of the guidelines, and Section 3553(e) of Title 18 that the defendant be sentenced in accordance with those provisions as set forth in our letter dated August 25th, 2014.

THE COURT: The motion is granted.

Ms. Shalley, do you wish to speak on behalf of your defendant?

MS. SHALLEY: Yes, your Honor.

We requested a sentence of time served, which is approximately 133 months. Mr. Vargas was originally arrested more than ten and-a-half years ago on a robbery which led to the death of Victor Marciano. He began proffering within a

couple of months of the arrest, and admitted involvement in another robbery homicide, 16 additional robberies, and extortion and drug trafficking. His information was instrumental in assisting the government to identify and charge five defendants with the robbery and murder of Jaime Valentin, as well as charging three defendants with the murder of Victor Marciano. The government found his information to be truthful, accurate, and reliable. And Mr. Vargas cooperated despite the fact that he was threatened while in the MCC, and his mother was threatened in the Dominican Republic during the course of the case.

His personal history is such that Mr. Vargas left school in the fourth grade and began to work in the Dominican Republic to help support his family. And he worked on and off while he was in the United States.

For the past ten and-a-half years while he's been incarcerated, he's become closer with his minister, Willie Smith, who he was working for for the last nine months that he was out before being arrested. That's resulted in him developing deep religious faith. He reads regularly in order to better himself; he's developed health and nutrition programs for other inmates; and is pretty much half the size he was when he was originally arrested.

During the ten and-a-half years, his wife has left him, he lost contact with many of his family members, and he

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

has struggled to maintain contact with his son. He's completely accepted responsibility for his actions, and apologizes for the pain and loss caused to the families and victims of this crime.

Accordingly, we think a sentence of time served would be sufficient, but not greater than necessary, in the instant matter.

THE COURT: Mr. Vargas, would you like to say anything before sentence is imposed upon you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

I'm very sorry for what I've done, because I know and I understand that this is not the right life for a divine human I've learned from my mistakes, and I've learned how to being. identify and categorize people who will be able to help me and improve me and be a better person for society. I'm very sorry for what I've done.

And I thank you, your Honor, for your attention. God willing, I will be able to be a good example for society and for the youth, so they know where they are going.

I thank you.

THE COURT: All right.

Mr. Vargas was arrested over ten years ago on April 8th, 2004, and has been in custody ever since. Given his significant cooperation, I find that he has served a sufficient amount of time in jail, and accordingly sentence him to time

served on all the counts to which he pled, Counts One through Nine.

I also place him on supervised release for five years, although I certainly don't expect that he will be actively supervised, but I do so only to emphasize to Mr. Vargas that should he decide to illegally return to this country, that he will be not only committing the crime of illegal reentry, but he would be violating the terms of his supervised release, as well, and, therefore, face even additional punishment.

Further, there's a mandatory special assessment of \$900, and all of the mandatory standard and special conditions of supervised release set out at pages 16 through 17 are imposed.

I want to advise Mr. Vargas, whether he's waived it or not, that he has a right to appeal the sentence I've imposed within 14 days.

Is there anything else I left out?

MS. MASELLA: That's all, your Honor.

There is an underlying indictment which the government would move to dismiss against Mr. Vargas at this time.

THE COURT: The motion is granted.

MS. SHALLEY: No, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

24 | \* \*